What Factors Influence Non-Adherence to the Smoking Cessation Program?

Nagihan Durmuş Koçak 🕩, Ülkü Aka Aktürk 🕩

Clinic of Pulmonary Diseases, Health Sciences University, Süreyyapaşa Chest Diseases and Thoracic Surgery Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

Cite this article as: Durmuş Koçak N, Aka Aktürk Ü. What Factors Influence Non-Adherence to the Smoking Cessation Program? Turk Thorac J 2019; 20(3): 168-74.

Abstract OBJECTIVES: To improve our knowledge and understand how to deal with non-adherence to the support programs and to determine the rate and possible factors related to non-adherence in subjects who attended our smoking cessation clinic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This was a case-control study that included 550 subjects who applied to our smoking cessation clinic between June 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011. After a 1-year follow-up period, subjects were divided into two groups: adherent (controls) and non-adherent (cases). Sociodemographic and clinical parameters and smoking habits were evaluated. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS: Of the 550 subjects, the number of cases (non-adherent) was 135 (24.6%), and the number of controls (adherent) was 415 (75.4%). Age to begin smoking was significantly young in subjects with non-adherence to the program (p=0.026). The rate of receiving pharmacotherapy was significantly high in subjects with adherence (p<0.0001). No difference was found between the groups according to varenicline, bupropion, nicotine gum, or combined therapy use, whereas nicotine patch use alone significantly increased the rate of non-adherence (p=0.022). Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that the age to begin smoking (p=0.045, odds ratio (OR): 1.05, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.86-0.99) and pharmacotherapy (p<0.0001, OR: 5.00, 95% CI: 2.80-8.94) were independent variables that affected adherence to the program.

CONCLUSION: Care should be taken in the follow-up period when providing no pharmacotherapy and with subjects who started smoking at a young age.

KEYWORDS: Drug therapy, nicotine patch, patient non-adherence, smoking, smoking cessationReceived: 27.03.2018Accepted: 22.09.2018Available Online Date: 01.04.2019

INTRODUCTION

Smoking is the leading cause of preventable deaths of nearly 6 million people worldwide each year. Addiction to tobacco products represents a global public health problem. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), an estimated one-third of the adult population worldwide consists of smokers. If the present smoking pattern persists, it is expected that in 2020, approximately 10 million people will die worldwide each year. Reducing tobacco use and tobacco-related deaths are a first priority for WHO [1].

In Turkey, smoking is responsible for 25% of deaths annually. The National Strategy for Tobacco Control was launched in 2007. Although the prevalence of smoking decreased considerably from 31.2% in 2008 to 27.1% in 2012, it is still too high [2,3]. For these reasons, smoking cessation support programs have been employed by physicians in primary care units and hospitals country-wide for years [4].

Although it is supposed that patient compliance is a factor that determines the success of smoking cessation programs, knowledge is limited for dealing with non-adherence of participants [5]. One of the reasons of scarce knowledge is non-adherence that is often treated as missing data and excluded from most studies. However, findings show that a significant number of participants did not attend their scheduled follow-up sessions [6-8]. Predictors of non-adherence were found to be of low socioeconomic status and to have insufficient knowledge about health risks [9]. A study from Turkey reported that the mean age, smoking pack-years, and Fagerstrom Nicotine Dependence scores (FNDS) were lower in non-adherent participants than in participants who remained in the follow-up [8]. In addition, there was a positive correlation between adherence to treatment and tobacco abstinence [10].

This study was presented as a poster presentation at the ERS International Congress 2017 Milan-Italy, 9-13 September.

Address for Correspondence: Nagihan Durmuş Koçak, Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Health Sciences University, Süreyyapaşa Chest Diseases and Thoracic Surgery Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey E-mail: nagihan_durmus@vahoo.com We have noticed that there were many non-adherent subjects in our clinic. To understand what factors affect subjects' compliance may provide a chance to improve our smoking cessation program. In the present study, the primary outcome was to evaluate the rate of non-adherence, and the second-ary outcome was to determine the factors that are associated with non-adherence to the program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This was a case-control study conducted in the Smoking Cessation Clinic of a tertiary care hospital.

Study Population

Subjects who participated in the smoking cessation program from July 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011 were included in the study.

Inclusion and Follow-up

In accordance with the National Tobacco Control Program in Turkey [4], subjects included in the study followed the standardized follow-up protocol, and attendance was free of charge. The smoking cessation program was based on a cognitive-behavioral approach and pharmacotherapy. The program was implemented by a chest physician who specializes in smoking cessation. According to the degree of nicotine dependence and/or presence of contraindications for medication, the decision to provide pharmacotherapy was decided by the physician. In the first meeting, the danger of smoking, benefits of quitting, and the importance of making the decision to quit were explained to all subjects who participated voluntarily in the program. In addition, a quit date was assigned for each subject in this meeting. To improve motivation, meetings were recommended once within the first 15 days following the guit date, once every month for the proceeding next 3 months, and once every 3 months in the following 9 months. Cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychological support were provided every interview. For the first interview, subjects were called for a face-to-face meeting, and those unable to attend the support meeting were phoned by a polyclinic nurse. After the initial meeting, subsequent support contacts were made either through face-to-face or by phone. At the end of the 1-year period, subjects who did not participate in any support meetings after the initial meeting and with unknown smoking status were defined as non-adherent to the smoking cessation program (cases). On the other hand, subjects whose smoking status was known (quit smoking or resumed smoking) and who had at least two support contacts were classified as adherent to the program (controls). Using previously collected data, cases and controls were compared retrospectively according to various parameters to assess the factors that may influence non-adherence to smoking cessation support programs.

Data

Sociodemographic characteristics including age, gender, educational level, and occupation were recorded. Occupations were categorized as blue collars, white collars, housewives, and others (e.g., student, retired, police, and soldier). Questions regarding smoking history (age to begin smoking, number of smoking years, daily cigarette count, presence of the thought or idea of quitting in the past, prior attempts to quit, and longest abstinence duration in the past) were asked. The FNDS, Beck Depression Scale (BDS), presence of household smoking, presence of respiratory symptoms and concomitant diseases, alcohol intake (alcohol consumption is defined as having up to one drink per day), pulmonary function test (PFT), whether they received pharmacological treatment in addition to psychological support to quit, and type of the pharmacotherapy were determined.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics are described as frequencies, percentages, mean values, and standard deviations. The chi-square test was performed for between-group comparisons of categorical variables. The t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparison of continuous variables between the groups. Multiple backward stepwise logistic regression analyses were performed to detect any associations between variables and to express their mathematical model. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were made using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20 software package (IBM SPSS Statistics Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethics

The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital (14.10.2014; 89513307/1009/346) and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was not required since this was a retrospective study.

RESULTS

A total of 550 (289 male and 261 female) subjects who participated in the smoking cessation program within our institution were included in the study. The mean age of the subjects was 41.5 ± 10.8 years. At the end of the 1-year follow-up period, the number of cases (non-adherent) was 135 (24.6%), and the number of controls (adherent) was 415 (75.4%).

When we compared the groups according to age, gender, smoking history, alcohol consumption, educational level, and occupation, there were no differences observed (Tables 1 and 2). In subjects who were non-adherent to the program, the age to begin smoking was found to be significantly young (p=0.026). In both groups, half of the subjects had at least one smoker in their home. The presence of pulmonary symptoms and the mean values of PFT parameters were not different between the two groups. In addition, there was no difference in FNDS and BDS between the two groups. A total of 472 (85.8%) subjects received pharmacotherapy, and adherence to the program was found to be significantly higher in those subjects who received pharmacotherapy (91.3% vs. 69.6%, p<0.0001). However, there was no difference with the use of varenicline, bupropion, nicotine gum, or combined therapy (bupropion+nicotine patch, varenicline+nicotine patch, and nicotine patch+nicotine gum). Adherence to the program was significantly low with nicotine patch use alone (p=0.022) (Table 3).

TILA	C	•	1		· · · ·
Table L.	Group	comparisons	according to	categorical	variables
i aoic ii	Group	companisons	according to	cutegonicui	variables

	Adherent (Controls)	Non-adherent (Cases)	
	n=415	n=135	
Variables	(n, %)	(n, %)	P *
Gender			
Male	221 (53.3)	68 (50.4)	0.62
Female	68 (46.7)	67 (49.6)	
Cigarette count (daily)			
Max 10	45 (10.9)	15 (11.4)	0.99
11-20	181 (44.2)	57 (43.1)	
21-30	132 (32.2)	43 (32.6)	
>30	52 (12.7)	17 (12.9)	
Presence of household smoking	209 (50.4)	72 (53.3)	0.48
Presence of alcohol intake	105 (25.3)	27 (20.0)	0.25
Presence of the thought of quitting in the past	353 (85.0)	109 (80.7)	0.47
ongest abstinence duration in the past			
<1 month	186 (60.8)	59 (63.4)	0.54
1-6 months	84 (27.5)	25 (26.9)	
7-12 months	19 (6.2)	2 (2.2)	
>12 months	17 (5.5)	7 (7.5)	
ducation level			
Primary school	104 (25.7)	35 (27.6)	0.32
Secondary school	48 (11.9)	20 (15.7)	
High school	133 (32.9)	37 (29.1)	
University	119 (29.5)	35 (27.6)	
Occupations			
Housewives	84 (21.0)	33 (25.2)	0.08
White collars	81 (20.2)	14 (10.7)	
Blue collars	35 (8.8)	10 (7.6)	
Others	200 (50.0)	74 (56.5)	
Presence of concomitant diseases	185 (44.6)	67 (49.6)	0.32
Presence of symptoms	187 (45.1)	71 (52.6)	0.31
Dyspnea	142 (36.9)	53 (39.2)	0.35
Cough	119 (30.9)	43 (31.9)	0.16
Sputum	124 (32.2)	39 (28.9)	0.59
Normal pulmonary functions test	272 (65.5)	79 (58.5)	0.48

Multivariable logistic regression analyses showed that the age to begin smoking (p=0.045, odds ratio (OR): 1.05, 95% confidence interval (Cl): 0.86-0.99) and the provision of pharmacotherapy (p<0.0001, OR: 5.00, 95% Cl: 2.80-8.94) were independent variables that affected adherence to the program. Although no statistical significance was achieved, the duration of smoking was close to being a significant factor (p=0.055, OR: 1.03, 95% Cl: 0.95-1.00) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

We observed that the ratio of subjects with unknown smoking status due to non-adherence to the smoking cessation support program was remarkably high. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the reasons for this non-adherence. We found that a younger age to begin smoking and the absence of pharmacotherapy were significant factors affecting nonadherence to the program.

Adherence is traditionally defined as "the extent to which a person's behavior corresponds with agreed recommendations from a health care provider" [11]. In the present study, non-adherence was defined as having attended less than two meetings in the 1-year follow-up period and an unknown smoking status. While the ratio of adherence to smoking cessation support programs varies between 47% and 70% in the literature [12-14], we established that almost 25% of the sub-

	Adherent (Controls)	Non-adherent (Cases)	
	(n=415)	(n=135)	
Variables	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	р*
Age, y	41.9 (10.7)	40.1 (10.9)	0.08
Age to begin smoking, y	18.4 (5.1)	17.3 (4.7)	0.026
Duration of smoking, y	23.6 (10.7)	22.7 (11.5)	0.97
Cigarette pack/years	29.4 (18.1)	28.1 (18.5)	0.49
Number of prior quitting attempts	2.9 (3.2)	3.7 (3.8)	0.09
FNDS	5.7 (2.4)	5.5 (2.5)	0.45
BDS	11.6 (8.4)	12.6 (8.2)	0.21
FVC, L	3.4 (1.0)	3.5 (1.1)	0.35
FVC, % predicted	85.9 (15.5)	87.4 (16.5)	0.45
FEV ₁ , L	2.9 (0.9)	3.0 (0.9)	0.45
FEV ₁ , % predicted	87.5 (16.8)	88.0 (18.6)	0.76
EV,/FVC	85.3 (8.7)	84.5 (9.4)	0.39

 Table 2. Group comparisons according to continuous variables

*T-test, Mann-Whitney U Test BDS: Beck depression score; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1.second; FNDS: Fagerstrom nicotine dependence score; FVC: forced vital capacity; L: liter; SD: standard deviation; y: years

Table 3. Group comparisons according to the treatment-related variables

	Adherent (Controls)	Non-adherent (Cases)	
	n=415	n=135	
Variables	(n, %)	(n , %)	р*
Pharmacotherapy			
Yes	378 (91.3)	94 (69.6)	< 0.0001
No	36 (8.7)	41 (30.4)	
Varenicline use			
Yes	169 (44.8)	35 (37.2)	0.20
No	208 (55.2)	59 (69.8)	
Bupropion use			
Yes	112 (29.7)	26 (27.7)	0.80
No	265 (70.3)	68 (72.3)	
Nicotine patch use			
Yes	69 (18.3)	28 (29.8)	0.022
No	308 (81.7)	66 (70.2)	
Nicotine gum use			
Yes	13 (3.4)	1 (1.1)	0.32
No	364 (96.6)	93 (98.9)	
Bupropion+Nicotine patch use			
Yes	10 (2.7)	4 (4.3)	0.49
No	367 (97.3)	90 (23.9)	
Varenicline+Nicotine patch use			
Yes	9 (2.3)	1 (1.1)	0.69
No	368 (97.6)	93 (98.9)	
Nicotine patch+Nicotine gum use			
Yes	8 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	1.00
No	376 (99.7)	93 (98.9)	

Table 4. Multivariable logistic regression analyses				
Variables, step 9*	р	OR	95% Cl	
Age to begin smoking, y	0.045	1.05	0.89-0.99	
Duration of smoking, y	0.055	1.03	0.95-1.00	
Pharmacotherapy	< 0.0001	5.00	2.80-8.94	

*Variables entered on step 1: Age, gender, age to begin smoking (years), duration of smoking (years), number of quitting attempts in the past, cigarette count (daily), Fagerstrom nicotine dependence score, Beck depression score, respiratory symptoms (yes/no), comorbidity (yes/no), alcohol intake (yes/no), pharmacotherapy (yes/no) OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

jects did not complete the program. In Turkey, according to another study, in a total of 1324 participants, 40.8% did not attend the program after the first visit [8]. We believe that this is a significant challenge for smoking cessation programs. One study reported that low adherence to a therapeutic program was found to be associated with the maintenance of tobacco use at 6 and 12 months [13], whereas another study stated that fully adherent users of technology-based behavioral change interventions were over four times more likely to quit smoking [15]. Another study reported that the number of treatment sessions and performance of treatment tasks were related to prolonged abstinence [16].

Results of studies on gender differences in smoking cessation are controversial. In some studies, male gender was associated with a high risk of relapse or non-adherence [17,18], whereas other studies reported that there was an inverse or no relationship between gender and smoking cessation [8,13,19-21]. There is a great deal of research about the sociodemographic characteristics and the success of guitting, but data regarding sociodemographic parameters and smoking cessation program adherence are scarce. In one randomized placebo-controlled study, lower adherence to treatment (both pharmacological and behavioral) was associated with higher daily smoking, greater withdrawal symptoms, and receiving placebo instead of an active nicotine patch [14]. In another study, the age of first use of cigarettes, years of addiction, living with other smokers, educational level, number of guit smoking attempts, and alcohol consumption had no influence on smoking cessation [13]. A study including 281 female subjects reported that greater nicotine dependence and lower educational levels predicted dropout from treatment or continued smoking versus quitting [22]. Conversely, another study concluded that the FNDS of the dropout group was lower than that of the follow-up group [8]. Treatment completion rates were found to be similar in both light and heavy (≥20 cigarettes per/day) smokers [23]. There was no any association with the subjects' demographic data, educational level, occupation, daily cigarette count, total duration of smoking, FNDS, previous quitting attempts, and living with a smoker with adherence to the smoking cessation program. We think that different study populations and research protocols may explain these conflicting findings. Although a relationship between alcohol intake and smoking relapse has been observed in many studies [16,24-27], we found no association with adherence to the program and alcohol use in our study.

Audrain-McGovern et al. [28] evaluated the predictors of participation in a smoking cessation program among young adult smokers (between 18 and 30 years old) and concluded that the mean age of participants is significantly higher than that of non-participants. Correspondingly, Bahadir et al. [8] reported that the mean age of the discontinued group is lower than that of the follow-up group. We found no association between the mean age of the subjects and adherence to the program. The age to begin smoking has also been reported as a determining factor for relapse [29,30]. Among female prisoners enrolled in a smoking cessation trial, older age of smoking initiation and higher baseline smoking predicted counseling adherence [31]. The likelihood of smoking cessation was greater in smokers who had started cigarette smoking after the age of 13 years than in those who had started earlier [32]. Although some researchers reported that the age of first use of cigarettes was associated with quitting smoking [33], López-Torrecillas et al. [16] concluded that the age to begin smoking is not related to relapse. In the present study, we found that the age to begin smoking was significantly young in subjects with non-adherence. These discrepancies about age and smoking cessation may be due to the fact that smokers are a heterogeneous group. We also consider that early initiation of cigarette smoking may be associated with a greater potential for compliance problems, including heavy daily consumption, longer duration of smoking, and nicotine dependence.

In our smoking cessation clinic, we provide a combined cognitive-behavioral and pharmacological treatment, which we currently believe to be the best option to guit smoking [16]. In the present study, the specific treatment designated for each subject and the decision to provide pharmacotherapy was made by the physician according to the nicotine dependence of the subject and the presence of contraindications for drug therapy. We found that 85.8% of the subjects received pharmacotherapy, and they were five times more likely to adhere to the smoking cessation program. Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) is reported as a cost-effective strategy that is associated with treatment success [25,34]. Interestingly, we found that the ratio of non-adherence to treatment was significantly high when only the nicotine patch was used alone. Bahadir et al. [8] also observed that NRT is more common in the discontinued group than in the follow-up group. Although this finding appears controversial, it may be due to the relatively low adverse effects of nicotine patches and higher tolerability [35]. Systemic therapy with varenicline and bupropion may be more uncomfortable than NRT because of adverse effects, such as nausea, abnormal dreaming, depression, tremor, headache, or anxiety. For this reason, subjects using varenicline or bupropion may need to see the physician and attend to their control visits regularly.

One of the limitations of the present study was that this was a single-center, tertiary care hospital study that could have resulted in our findings not being representative of a generalized population. Further limitations include unequal numbers of subjects with different types of pharmacotherapy and a small number of subjects with nicotine gum or combined therapy use as associated with the study design. On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, there is currently limited information regarding the effect of the factors we have assessed in the present study on adherence to smoking cessation programs. An understanding of these factors may lead to better adherence interventions and improve the success of attempted smoking cessations.

In conclusion, variables regarding the subjects and/or support programs may affect the rate of adherence to smoking cessation programs. Providing pharmacotherapy to subjects without contraindications to medication use (with approval from the physician) and being more careful in the follow-up period with the subject's age to begin smoking was young may improve adherence to smoking cessation support programs.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval was received for this study from the ethics committee of Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital (14.10.2014; 89513307/1009/346).

Informed Consent: Due to the retrospective design of the study, informed consent was not taken.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - N.D.K.; Design – N.D.K.; Supervision – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Resources – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Materials – N.D.K.; Data Collection and/or Processing – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Literature Search – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Writing Manuscript – N.D.K.; Critical Review – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.; Other – N.D.K., Ü.A.A.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic. Enforcing bans on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.who.int/ tobacco/global_report/2013/en/.
- Bilir N, Çakır B, Dağlı E, et al. WHO Europe Tobacco control in Turkey. World Health Organization Denmark; 2009. Available from: URL: http://ssuk.org.tr/eski_site_verileri/pdf/TobaccoControlinTurkey.pdf.
- Global Adult Tobacco Survey Turkey. Public Health Institution. Ankara, Turkey; 2014. Available from: URL: http://www.who.int/ tobacco/surveillance/survey/gats/report_tur_2012.pdf.
- Çelik İ, Yüce D, Hayran M, et al. Nationwide smoking cessation treatment support program-Turkey Project. Health Policy 2015;119:50-6.
- Raupach T, Brown J, Herbec A, et al. A systematic review of studies assessing the association between adherence to smoking cessation medication and treatment success. Addiction 2014;109:35-43. [CrossRef]
- Wen KY, Miller SM, Lazev A, et al. Predictors of smoking cessation counseling adherence in a socioeconomically disadvantaged sample of pregnant women. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2012;23:1222-38. [CrossRef]
- Balmford J, Borland R, Hammond D, et al. Adherence to and reasons for premature discontinuation from stop-smoking medications: data from the ITC Four-Country Survey. Nicotine Tob Res 2011;13:94-102. [CrossRef]

- Bahadir A, Iliaz S, Yurt S, et al. Factors affecting dropout in the smoking cessation outpatient clinic. Chron Respir Dis 2016;13:155-61. [CrossRef]
- 9. Siddiqui O, Flay BR, Hlu FB. Factors affecting attrition in a longitudinal smoking prevention study. Prev Med 1996;25:554-60. [CrossRef]
- Hays JT, Leischow SJ, Lawrence D, et al. Adherence to treatment for tobacco dependence: association with smoking abstinence and predictors of adherence. Nicotine Tob Res 2010;12:574-81. [CrossRef]
- World Health Organization: Adherence to Long-term Therapies: Evidence for Action. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003. Available from: URL: http://apps.who.int/ iris/bitstream/10665/42682/1/9241545992.pdf.
- Afzal Z, Pogge E, Boomershine V. Evaluation of pharmacist and nurse practitioner smoking cessation program. J Pharm Pract 2017;30:406-11. [CrossRef]
- 13. Marino MG, Fusconi E, Magnatta R, et al. Epidemiologic determinants affecting cigarette smoking cessation: a retrospective study in a National Health System (SSN) treatment service in Rome (Italy). J Environ Public Health 2010;2010:183206. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ben Taleb Z, Ward KD, Asfar T, et al. Predictors of adherence to pharmacological and behavioral treatment in a cessation trial among smokers in Aleppo, Syria. Drug Alcohol Depend 2015;153:167-72. [CrossRef]
- Zeng EY, Heffner JL, Copeland WK, et al. Get with the program: Adherence to a smartphone app for smoking cessation. Addict Behav 2016;63:120-4. [CrossRef]
- López-Torrecillas F, Rueda MM, López-Quirantes EM, et al. Adherence to treatment to help quit smoking: effects of task performance and coping with withdrawal symptoms. BMC Public Health 2014;14:1217. [CrossRef]
- Kviz FJ, Crittenden KS, Warnecke RB. Factors associated with nonparticipation among registrants for a self-help, community-based smoking cessation intervention. Addict Behav 1992;17:533-42. [CrossRef]
- Nieya G, Valero S, Bruguera E, et al. The alternative five-factor model of personality, nicotine dependance and relapse after treatment for smoking cessation. Addict Behav 2011;36:965-71. [CrossRef]
- Smith PH, Bessette AJ, Weinberger AH, et al. Sex/gender differences in smoking cessation: A review. Prev Med 2016;92:135-40. [CrossRef]
- 20. Borelli B, Papandonatos G, Spring B, et al. Experimenter-defined quit dates for smoking cessation: adherence improves outcomes for women but not for men. Addiction 2004;99:378-85. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wetter DW, Kenford SL, Smith SS, et al. Gender differences in smoking cessation. J Consult Clin Psychol 1999;67:555-62. [CrossRef]
- 22. Borrelli B, Hogan JW, Bock B, et al. Predictors of quitting and dropout among women in a clinic-based smoking cessation program. Psychol Addict Behav 2002;16:22-7. [CrossRef]
- 23. Gariti P, Lynch K, Alterman A, et al. Comparing smoking treatment programs for lighter smokers with and without a history of heavier smoking. J Subst Abuse Treat 2009;37:247-55. [CrossRef]
- 24. VanderVeen JW, Gulliver SB, Morissette SB, et al. Differences in drinking patterns, occupational stres, and exposure to potentially traumatic events among firefighters: predictors of smoking relapse. Am J Addict 2012;21:550-4. [CrossRef]
- 25. Heffner JL, Lee TC, Arteaga C, et al. Predictors of post-treatment relapse to smoking in successful quitters: pooled data from two phase III varenicline trials. Drug Alcohol Depend 2010;109:120-5. [CrossRef]
- Garvey AJ, Bliss RE, Hitchcock JL, et al. Predictors of smoking relapse among self-quitters: a report from the Normative Aging Study. Addict Behav 1992;17:367-77. [CrossRef]
- 27. Göksel T, Cirit M, Bayındır Ü. Factors affecting smoking behavior in high school students in Izmir. Turk Thorac J 2001;2:49-53.
- 28. Audrain-McGovern J, Halbert CH, Rodriguez D, et al. Predictors of participation in a smoking cessation program among young

adult smokers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:617-9. [CrossRef]

- 29. Flores Mateo G, Morchón Ramos S, Masuet Aumatell C, et al. Age of smoking initiation as predictor in smoking cessation. Aten Primaria 2005;35:466-71. [CrossRef]
- Hurt RD, Wolter TD, Rigotti N, et al. Bupropion for pharmacologic relapse prevention to smoking: predictors of outcome. Addict Behav 2002;27:493-507. [CrossRef]
- 31. Berg CJ, Ahluwalia JS, Cropsey K. Predictors of adherence to behavioral counseling and medication among female prisoners enrolled in a smoking cessation trial. J Correct Health Care 2013;19:236-47. [CrossRef]
- 32. Breslau N, Peterson EL. Smoking cessation in young adults: age at initiation of cigarette smoking and other suspected influences. Am J Public Health 1996;86:214-20. [CrossRef]
- 33. Marino MG, Fusconi E, Magnatta R, et al. Epidemiologic determinants aafecting cigarette smoking cessation: a retrospective study in a National Health System (SSN) treatment service in Rome (Italy). J Environ Public Health 2010;2010:183206. [CrossRef]
- Voci SC, Zawertailo LA, Hussain S, et al. Association between adherence to free nicotine replacement therapy and successful quitting. Addict Behav 2016;61:25-31. [CrossRef]
- 35. Jain R, Majumder P, Gupta T. Pharmacological intervention of nicotine dependence. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:278392. [CrossRef]